



Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse One year Review

November 2007

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- In November 2006 the Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse was launched as a one year pilot website, with a review planned after the one year period.
- The purpose of this review was to ascertain whether the Clearinghouse is meeting the needs of policy makers in Indigenous justice (the primary audience) and to determine how the Clearinghouse can be further developed.
- The review consisted of interviews with policy makers from each jurisdiction, an online user survey, an email to discussion forum members, and a review of dissemination activities, web links, and web traffic statistics.
- The review found that:
 - Niche: The Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse fulfils a particular niche in drawing together information and research resources on Indigenous justice. Policy makers in Indigenous justice tend to use the site but would like further enhancements
 - Usability: The site is user friendly with the graphics and layout appreciated
 - Currency and Interactive: Although the website contains valuable resources jurisdictions are not contributing content to the site. The site could be more dynamic by actively seeking information on forthcoming publications, unpublished information from each jurisdiction and by adding an events calendar
 - Research Briefs: Many policy makers found the research brief the most valuable part of the site and would like a series of research briefs
 - Forum: Although the forum was viewed, policy makers are not using the forum and there were very few contributions to the forum over the one year period.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse will be continued, with funding made available for the next two years, and will be reviewed again at the end of 2009.
2. The NJCEOs will contribute funding to cover the cost of dissemination, research briefs and coordination of the website. A part-time Research Officer will coordinate and strengthen the website through:
 - Contracting out Research Briefs: Experts will be contracted to write research briefs,
 - Dissemination: Proactive dissemination will target key policy makers in all jurisdictions (including New Zealand) via electronic and face to face presentations
 - Knowledge Brokering: Active information seeking and gathering of relevant material from all jurisdictions on an ongoing basis, and development of links with research officers, Indigenous networks, universities and the NJCEO Indigenous Justice Forum. Policy makers are requesting up to date, dynamic resources including overseas material.
3. Enhancements will be made to the website in line with the findings of the review:
 - Email notifications with topic subject lines will be sent when new material is posted
 - The forum will be discontinued in its current form and replaced by an online forum hosted by a research expert on a particular topic at a particular time using current technology
 - The home page will be more dynamic with upcoming events and news.
4. Governance: Support for information technology and library services will continue to be provided by the Australian Institute of Criminology while the Attorney General's Department of NSW will house the Research Officer position for the website.

Note This public version of the review has references to specific officers interviewed and specific funding amounts removed from the paper.

Contents	Page no.
Executive summary	i
1. Background	2
2. Review questions	2
3. Aim, scope and current state of development	3
4. Dissemination	4
5. Web statistics	5
6. Policy makers views on the website	5
<i>Appendix A: Detailed content of Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse database</i>	9
<i>Appendix B: Dissemination Activity Summary</i>	10
<i>Appendix C: Interview and survey questionnaire</i>	12
<i>Appendix D: Website statistics</i>	17

1. Background

In November 2005, at an informal State and Territory Justice Minister's meeting, Ministers recognised the need to improve knowledge sharing about policies and programs aimed at reducing the high rate of Indigenous incarceration. It was agreed to establish a clearinghouse of such knowledge. An Indigenous justice officers' forum was also organised in March 2006 to showcase successful Indigenous projects and has been held as a yearly event since this time.

As part of the development of the Clearinghouse a preliminary scoping exercise was undertaken by the NSW Attorney General's Department (NSW AG's) in early 2006. This audit was a stocktake of current Indigenous justice information identifying Indigenous datasets, key research centres, clearinghouses, electronic information, and current research projects. A survey was also undertaken of each state and territory to identify current evaluations of Indigenous programs.

From the scoping exercise it was found that information resources on Indigenous justice were not coordinated, nor did they appear in a central place. Web-based clearinghouses were found to exist on specialised topics such as youth, drugs, alcohol, family violence and child protection, but there was not an equivalent clearinghouse for Indigenous justice information or research. The survey of jurisdictions found that there were many gaps in information about Indigenous justice. For example, there was a lack of best practice models for Indigenous justice programs. There had been little work on evaluation of Indigenous policies and programs although evaluation activity was increasing, with many evaluations in the development or planning phase.

To respond to these gaps in information the Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse was established as a one year pilot with a review planned after the initial year. The project was undertaken by NSW AG's and the Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC).

An Indigenous artist (Garry Jones) developed the artwork for the site. An advisory group, which included key users of the Clearinghouse, was consulted on significant aspects of the project including design and content, useability of the website and the Clearinghouse's logo. Before being launched the website was user tested by the advisory group and others. The process for developing research briefs was endorsed at the July 2006 SCAG meeting, with proposed topics circulated for Ministers' endorsement in August 2006. The pilot site was launched by the justice Ministers in November 2006, and a dissemination strategy for the site was also endorsed at this time.

2. Review questions

This one year review will determine whether the Clearinghouse achieves its aims. Specifically:

1. Is information on Indigenous justice drawn together in one place? (Does it fill a niche?)
2. Is the site user friendly? (Usability)
3. Is the information up to date and is the website dynamic? (Currency and interactive)
4. What information does the website include; is it the right mix of research, policy information and evaluations, and is there too much/ too little information? (Valuable information)
5. Is the site meeting the needs of policy makers?
6. Are workers in Aboriginal programs and communities using the site?
7. Are policy makers (the target audience) engaged in the site and have a sense of ownership of the site?
8. Are states and territories contributing to the site?
9. Which aspects of the website (web register, online forum or research briefs) are most useful?
10. What improvements and modifications could enhance the website?

The review will also recommend future roles and responsibilities of partners and key users and will advise on ongoing funding arrangements required to continue the website.

3. Aim, Scope And Current State Of Development

The aim of the Clearinghouse is to promote discussion and disseminate relevant Indigenous justice information to government policy makers. The secondary aim of the Clearinghouse is to promote discussion and provide Indigenous justice information to those working in Indigenous justice including researchers, practitioners working within Indigenous communities or non-government organisations, and Indigenous community members.

The original design of the Clearinghouse included three major ‘products’: a web register, research briefs and an online discussion. A description of original scope of these tools and a status report of their current development is shown in Table 1. In addition to these three key aspects of the website, a home page, news section and links to key research centres are also available.

**Table 1
Content of the Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse**

SCOPE	CURRENT STATUS	AREAS TO DEVELOP
<p>Web register <i>Description: A web based register of Indigenous justice resources, searchable by fields such as title, subject, author, geo-location, and date range.</i></p>		
<p>The priority for gathering content for the register will be knowledge which informs responses to Indigenous criminal justice issues. Over time this could possibly be expanded to issues in the area of civil justice.</p> <p>“Resources” on the web based register will comprise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • completed and current research projects • published evaluations, papers and reports • forthcoming (approved or funded) evaluations • relevant programs, datasets and other websites. 	<p>Searchable database by title, author, subject, jurisdiction and date range.</p> <p>315 publications (see Appendix A)</p> <p>Information in the database includes key publications selected from the CINCH database at the Australian Institute of Criminology, and NSW AGs Indigenous Research Audit. Content includes books, reports, conference papers, presentations, datasets, projects and journal articles.</p> <p>States and territories can fill out an online ‘contributor form’ to notify of new research or project: only 4 online contributor forms received.</p>	<p>Lacks forthcoming research, unpublished research and current projects.</p> <p>Requires a proactive process where this information is gathered on an ongoing basis from each jurisdiction (possible by a dedicated Officer to drive this process).</p> <p>Needs to add some key policy and historical documents.</p> <p>Searching capability needs to be reviewed.</p>

<p>Research briefs <i>Description: Concise briefs on selected research and other knowledge, written to meet the needs of decision makers, and giving clear recommendations where possible.</i></p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work will focus on issues identified as priorities by jurisdictions, where the need is greatest and/or the available knowledge is likely to support clear recommendations. Briefs could also cover best practice programs, explaining how and why they were successful. AGD NSW and AIC agreed to work collaboratively on a program of synthesising selected research, guided by the needs and priorities of decision makers. 	<p>One research brief has been completed (NSW AGs: <u>Research on strong Indigenous communities</u>).</p> <p>Three Briefs will be published in 2008:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Effective bail support programs:</u> <u>Victorian Community Justice Court</u> <u>Effectiveness of sex offender programs:</u> 	<p>A series of research briefs are needed and funding is required for this.</p>
<p>Online discussion forum <i>Description: An online discussion forum, co-located with the web register, but with access restricted to registered participants. The purpose is to encourage decision makers, researchers and practitioners to share informal knowledge, new ideas, experiences, proposals, contacts and resources.</i></p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The scope of material which could be available on the online discussion forum is much wider than that of the web register. The web register focuses on evidence, whereas the discussion forum allows for the exchange of ideas, experience and opinions between participants Content will be largely dependent on the interests of participants and will develop in an organic way AIC will develop a trial version of the discussion forum on its web site and provide a part-time moderator for the forum. 	<p>Online forum has discussion 'threads' under three major topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Forum feedback Programs and research projects Stories. <p>The most frequently viewed 'threads' have been information on releases of reports (over 1,000 views).</p> <p>AIC have moderated the site. Postings go live immediately and are then moderated.</p>	<p>Not successful in its current form and it is uncertain whether the medium is appropriate for the target audience.</p> <p>Suggestions for development include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> promoting discussion among young people or more active moderation.

4. Dissemination

Previous research has highlighted some of the essential ingredients of successful dissemination strategies: dissemination planning, development of key messages and involvement of key messengers in the dissemination process¹

Accordingly, a dissemination strategy was planned at the outset of the project and a logo, flyers and key messages were developed. Many of the activities in the strategy have been

¹ National Centre for the Dissemination of Disability Research, (2001), Developing an Effective Dissemination Plan and the Canadian Health Services Research Foundation http://www.chsrf.ca/about/index_e.php

undertaken and the Clearinghouse has been promoted to the primary target audience (Appendix B). Since the website's official launch in November 2006 dissemination activities have included:

- 8 demonstrations and presentations
- 215 letters sent to government policy and program makers to advise them of the launch of the website
- 36 hard copies of Research Brief 1 were sent to key officers in the target population and another 20 letters were sent advising that the brief was loaded onto the website.

An assessment of the effectiveness of the dissemination of the Clearinghouse is an important aspect of this review. The effectiveness of the dissemination strategy can be judged according to whether information about the Clearinghouse is being shared (such as in newsletters and on the world wide web) and whether the target audience is aware of the web site.

Links to the Clearinghouse have been made on a diverse array of websites including library and justice agency websites, and a number of newsletters have also promoted the Clearinghouse (Appendix B).

The majority of policy makers who were interviewed as part of this review were aware of the Clearinghouse. However, there were policy makers who had not heard about the Clearinghouse, such as New Zealand policy makers, who could not comment on its content. Other policy makers had heard about the Clearinghouse, but had not accessed the site.

The dissemination strategy is judged to be partially successful: the word is spreading about the Clearinghouse and the majority of the target audience is aware of the site, but further dissemination needs to be undertaken.

5. Web statistics

A review of the web statistics from August 2007 (Appendix D) shows that the website was frequently viewed for the month of August. Research Brief 1 was the most viewed item (503 views) followed by the forum (207 views) and research centres (164 views).

In the resource section, searches were made by dataset, publications, projects and jurisdiction. These searches covered a vast array of subject areas. The top ten most popular searches of the resource sections were:

- datasets by subject (courts and sentencing, drugs and alcohol, policing)
- publications by subject (land rights, crime prevention)
- projects by subject (family violence, policing, juvenile justice) and
- projects by jurisdiction (Western Australia).

Web statistics for the forum show that although there are few contributors to the forum, the forum itself is being visited and viewed. For August 2007, there were frequent views of the thread on evaluation of the Northern Territory diversion scheme (88), the report on the government action in the Northern Territory (87) and abuse in communities.

6. Policy makers views on the website

To ascertain whether the Clearinghouse is reaching its target audience and is meeting the needs of policy makers in Indigenous issues:

- An online survey was placed on the Clearinghouse website over a three week period in September 2007
- Interviews were conducted with at least two policy makers from each jurisdiction and face to face interviews were conducted with policy makers in New South Wales

The interview schedule is shown in Appendix C. The following are the major findings and recommendations from this work.

The Clearinghouse is 'unique' and 'fulfils a need'

There is a commonly recognised need for the Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse. There is a continuing need to provide well-organised, easily accessible research resources in a centralised web location. In interviews, policy makers noted the difficulty in accessing research on Indigenous justice before the Clearinghouse was developed:

'For me the Clearinghouse is about gathering information and understanding projects and programs being run in Aboriginal communities... I have been using the Clearinghouse to get a lot of background information.'

'I have struggled for years to find information and evaluation on Indigenous justice. Finding the information is just so hard and it is really scattered'.

It was also noted that the Clearinghouse was unique:

'I don't believe there is any other website with the sort of information available on the Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse.... Even this morning I found information about a program I had never heard of'

A large majority of review respondents (over 96%) believed that the website should be continued and there was a strong sentiment that it should be further developed to ensure that it meets the diverse needs of the target audience.

'It can really be developed into the starting point for research into issues in Indigenous Justice'

Federal government policy documents have recognised the need for the Clearinghouse. For example, the 2007 National Indigenous Law & Justice Strategy includes the measure to 'explore the development of a national clearinghouse on Indigenous law and justice issues' as part of the actions to 'develop a whole of government approach to reducing crime in communities'.

Well-designed

Nearly all policy makers and survey respondents thought the website was well-designed and easy to use, with 92% finding the website to be user friendly. There were positive comments on the clear graphics, the ease in navigating around the website and the usefulness of the headings for each section. The artwork by Garry Jones was also well received as part of the website design.

Content Could Be Extended

Many respondents use the website as a starting point for background understandings of topics in Indigenous justice and they seek concise information, while others signalled the need to access more detailed research and documents.

'...One of the uses of the website is to get quick, brief, summarised information, but there is also a need for substantively detailed information as well.'

'Government and policy makers need comprehensive summaries; workers need case studies and evaluations; communities need to see research going somewhere.'

There were several responses indicating that while the resources currently available on the website were useful, there is a need for more current and comprehensive resources. Suggestions to expand content or function of website included:

- Full text articles that are clearly flagged
- International articles and evaluations
- Key historical documents in Indigenous justice eg. Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody
- Web links to other agencies who are working on Indigenous justice issues such as State and Territory Law Reform Commissions (a partial list is available at present)
- An events calendar.
- Subject areas that are of interest include: reviews and evaluations, overseas programs, family violence, rehabilitation and reintegration and court system.

A need for more research briefs

Research briefs were highly rated by many interviewees and a great number of respondents asked for more of these briefs to be created.

'Very useful...I really think these have the potential to be the most useful feature of the site'

Respondents noted that research briefs fit the needs of policy makers by giving a concise and comprehensive background to an issue. This allows for a quick and easy introduction to an area and the included reference list enables policy makers to investigate further.

'They are so useful for people who don't have a lot of time. Its great to get everything in one document to see what's out there'

Forum is little used by target audience

Although some respondents commented that the forum is unique and allows interaction with the website, at present, policy makers (who are the primary audience) do not contribute to the forum. Only a small number of respondents in this review (10%) said that they used the forum. The most dominant issue is one of time, and it was also mentioned that public servants are constrained by position to comment on a forum. Several suggestions were made regarding the forum:

- To have more moderation. It was suggested that this would ensure the quality of contributions and focus of discussions
- To target young people as an online forum is a form of communication that they are comfortable and familiar with
- To develop an online forum hosted by a research expert on a particular topic at a particular time using current technology.

Email notification would be useful

The majority of those interviewed, even those who are not regular users, agreed that email alerts when new material is uploaded and reminders about the website would be useful.

'...Then I would read them, even if I wasn't working on that issue. Often things that might be on the website just aren't on my mind, or I don't know about them'

Enhance Links With Researchers

It was strongly suggested that those managing the website in the future need to create links with researchers and academics so that there are active requests for their latest work to be available on the Clearinghouse website.

Extend target audience

It was suggested that the Clearinghouse should extend its focus to its secondary audience, namely practitioners and researchers.

Change governance arrangements

One policy maker suggested that the Clearinghouse should be: funded by the Commonwealth housed by AIC and guided by National Aboriginal Justice Advisory Council with a board/committee of NJCEOs Indigenous Justice Forum.

'There needs to be a reference group or a steering committee for research and for the Clearinghouse...The Clearinghouse needs to be extended and needs to be a Commonwealth funded project as it has cross-jurisdictional importance and relevance'.

Appendix A

Detailed content of Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse Resource Database

SUBJECT CATEGORY OF DOCUMENT	NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS
Key Policy Documents	9
Datasets	16
Community Development	26
Corrections	36
Courts & Sentencing	70
Crime Prevention	44
Criminal Justice System	106
Deaths in Custody	10
Drugs & Alcohol	62
Education	8
Evaluations	70
Family Violence	52
Government Policy	19
Health	22
Juvenile Justice	34
Land Rights	6
Legal Services	15
Policing	15
Programs & Projects	40
Social Conditions	44
Violence	64
TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS	315

APPENDIX B

DISSEMINATION ACTIVITY SUMMARY:

WHERE THE CLEARINGHOUSE IS REFERRED TO 21ST SEPTEMBER 2007

Medium which refers to Clearinghouse	Details
Newsletters	<p>AIC no.28, March 2007</p> <p>ANZSOC vol 4, issue 1, March 2007</p> <p>Australian Domestic & Family Violence Clearinghouse Newsletter 27 – Summer 06/07</p> <p>Close Up Newsletter (NSW State Library) February 2007</p> <p>Crime Prevention Division, Attorney General's Department of NSW Summer 2007</p> <p>DoCs (NSW) Research to Practice Update December 06</p> <p>Youth Field Xpress June 2007</p>
Libraries	<p>Murdoch University</p> <p>National Library of Australia (research brief)</p> <p>Parliament of Australia Parliamentary library</p> <p>Waverly Library</p> <p>Wollongong City Library</p> <p>World LII</p>
Links in other web sites	<p>AIC</p> <p>AusINet</p> <p>Australian Clearinghouse for Youth Studies,</p> <p>Australian Institute of Family Studies, Australian Relationships Clearinghouse</p> <p>CommunityNet</p> <p>Crime and Justice Research Network (University of New South Wales)</p> <p>Crime and Justice Research Network (University of Sydney)</p> <p>Criminal Justice Research Network (AGs)</p> <p>Department of Transport and Regional Services</p> <p>Inspire foundation (Queensland Government)</p> <p>Institute of Criminology (University of Sydney)</p> <p>Judicial Commission of NSW</p> <p>Lawlink</p> <p>Legal Aid Commission (NSW)</p> <p>Living is for Everyone (LIFE Framework)</p> <p>NT Department of Local Government, Housing and Sport</p> <p>NSW AGs Infolink</p>

Presentations and demonstrations	<p>Criminal Justice CEOs</p> <p>Criminal Justice Research Network Meeting</p> <p>Criminal Justice Research Network Seminar, 7 Dec 2006</p> <p>Executive Committee (NSW AG's)</p> <p>Law Week Seminar</p> <p>National Indigenous Forum Meeting, 21 Nov 2006</p> <p>National Justice CEOs, 9 Nov 2006</p> <p>Standing Committee of Attorney Generals, 10 Nov 2006</p>
Letters	<p>Government policy and program decision makers:</p> <p>215 on Clearinghouse</p> <p>20 letters on Research Brief 1</p> <p>36 hard copies of Research Brief 1</p>
Word of mouth	<p>Advisory Group and Project Working Group were invited to disseminate news of the Clearinghouse by word of mouth</p> <p>Commander of the Executive Support Group of NSW Police disseminated to all 30 Aboriginal Commands in NSW</p> <p>CRIM-NET</p> <p>Director of the NSW Aboriginal Justice Advisory Council disseminated to all web contacts</p> <p>Policy makers from states and territories disseminated to co-workers and contacts</p>

Appendix C
Interview and survey questionnaire

Indigenous justice clearinghouse user survey 2007

1 -

Which of the following groups best describes you?

R1_1	<input type="checkbox"/>	policy maker	
R1_2	<input type="checkbox"/>	researcher	
R1_3	<input type="checkbox"/>	practitioner	
R1_4	<input type="checkbox"/>	student	
R1_5	<input type="checkbox"/>	other	
Other:			R2_1

2 -

What is your interest in the Clearinghouse?

R3_1

3 -

How did you hear about the Indigenous Justice Clearinghouse?

R4_1

4 -

	Yes	No
In the past month have you used the Clearinghouse? R5_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)

If yes, how? If no, when was the last time you used it and why?

R6_1

5 -

	Yes	No
Would you refer a colleague to the Clearinghouse? R7_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)

Please explain

R8_1

6 -

	Yes	No
Do you find the design of the website user friendly? R9_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)

Please explain

R10_1

7 -

What subject areas are you most interested in?

R11_1

8 -

What types of information or data about Indigenous justice do you need to access?

R12_1	<input type="checkbox"/>	research papers
R12_2	<input type="checkbox"/>	statistics
R12_3	<input type="checkbox"/>	opinion pieces
R12_4	<input type="checkbox"/>	government reports
R12_5	<input type="checkbox"/>	other

Other:

R13_1

9 -

Which websites do you find most useful and visit most often to obtain information on Indigenous justice issues?

R14_1

10 - Could you rate the effectiveness of the key sections of the Clearinghouse?

	Very useful	Somewhat useful	Not useful
Resources R15_1	<input type="radio"/> (3)	<input type="radio"/> (2)	<input type="radio"/> (1)
Research centres R16_1	<input type="radio"/> (3)	<input type="radio"/> (2)	<input type="radio"/> (1)
Research briefs R17_1	<input type="radio"/> (3)	<input type="radio"/> (2)	<input type="radio"/> (1)
Forum R18_1	<input type="radio"/> (3)	<input type="radio"/> (2)	<input type="radio"/> (1)

11 - What improvements or additions could be made to each of the sections?

Resources

R19_1

Research centres

R20_1

Research briefs

R21_1

Forum

R22_1

Comments

R23_1

12 -

Are additional sections needed?

R24_1

13 -

Yes	No
-----	----

Would you like to be notified when information is added on topics that interest you? R25_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
--	------------------------------	------------------------------

14 -

	Yes	No
Would a series of research briefs that summarise research findings be useful to you? R26_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)

What topics would be useful?

R27_1

15 - Discussion forum

	Yes	No
Do you use the online discussion forum? R28_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
	Yes	No
Do you find the online forums useful? R29_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)

Why/why not?

R30_1

Is there anything that would encourage / discourage you to contribute to the online forum?

R31_1

16 - The website is a pilot website.

	Yes	No
Do you find the website useful? R32_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
	Yes	No
Do you think the website should be continued? R33_1	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)

What do you think the focus of the website should be if it is to continue?

R34_1

17 -

Any other suggestions or comments?

R35_1

18 - Personal details (optional)

Name

R36_1

Agency

R37_1

Contact phone

R38_1

Email

R39_1

**Appendix D
Indigenous Justice website statistics
for the month of August 2007**

Successful requests for pages: 6,950

Other resources

Views Page

503 Brief no. 1
207 Forum how to
164 Research centres
160 About the clearinghouse
121 Contributor form (word version)

Top 10 resource sections

Views Page

44 Datasets by subject (Courts and sentencing)
40 Publications by subject (Land rights)
40 Projects by subject (Family violence)
40 Projects by jurisdiction (WA)
40 Publications by subject (Crime prevention)
40 Projects by subject (Policing)
40 Datasets by subject (Drugs and alcohol)
39 Projects by subject (Juvenile justice)
39 Datasets by subject (Policing)
39 Publications by subject

Top 10 search entry terms (terms used by people in public search engines resulting in entry to the clearinghouse)

reqs search term

21 indigenous justice clearinghouse
11 indigenous justice clearing house
6 clearinghouse
5 dennis mcdermott
4 research on strong indigenous communities
4 snaic conference
3 to have new e-mail identity
3 gov.au forum vbulletin
2 new model restorative justice 2007
2 early intervention program forum

FORUM STATS:

Top 10 for August 07

77 Evaluation of NT diversion scheme (P=88)
62 New report on government action in the NT (P=87)
57 Abuse in communities (P=89)
47 Abuse in communities (T)
45 Are the Australian Indigenous people adequately protected? (T)
44 Upcoming seminar that may be of interest : Dennis McDermott on incarceration (T)
40 Survey instruments (T)
39 No 'General' section for discussion + Question. (T)
39 Identity (T)
39 Upcoming seminar that may be of interest : Dennis McDermott on incarceration (P=85)

(P) refers to links to a particular post, (T) is link to the thread.